| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 10/089,192 | TOYODA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hung H. Lam | 2622 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) <u>Hung H. Lam</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Klifton L. Kime. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 August 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 16, 18 and 19 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE An authorization was given to the Examiner for amending Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicati of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set | on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of | of the interview, since the interview |
| NGOC-YEN VU | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | oplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |